

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION**

SIMONE WOODBERRY,)	CASE NO. 1:15-cv-2222
)	
PLAINTIFF,)	JUDGE SARA LIOI
)	
vs.)	
)	
CAROLYN W. COLVIN, ACTING)	MEMORANDUM OPINION AND
COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL)	ORDER
SECURITY,)	
)	
DEFENDANT.)	

This matter is before the Court on the parties’ stipulation and petition for an award of attorney fees under the Equal Access to Justice Act (EAJA), 28 U.S.C. § 2412. (Doc. No. 22 [“Stip.”].) Previously, plaintiff had filed a motion for attorney fees in the amount of Three Thousand Eight Hundred Forty-four Dollars and Ninety-seven Cents (\$3,844.97). (Doc. No. 21 [“Mot.”].) After the motion was filed, the parties filed the stipulation now at issue, petitioning the Court to enter an award of attorney fees in the amount of Three Thousand Six Hundred Fifty Dollars and Zero Cents (\$3,650.00). (*See* Stip.) According to the stipulation, the parties’ agreement represents a compromise of disputed positions which will fully satisfy all of plaintiff’s fees, costs, and expenses under 28 U.S.C. § 2412. (*See id.*)

For the reasons that follow, the parties’ stipulation and petition for an award of attorney fees to plaintiff is granted.

A. Background

Plaintiff filed this action on October 29, 2015, seeking review of the Commissioner of Social Security's ("Commissioner") denial of her application for social security disability benefits. (Doc. No. 1.) Subsequently, the Court adopted the unopposed report and recommendation of the magistrate judge vacating the Commissioner's decision and remanding the matter to the Commissioner for further proceedings. (Doc. Nos. 19 and 20.)

The parties agree in their stipulation that an attorney fee award to plaintiff under the EAJA is subject to offset by any outstanding federal debt owed by plaintiff pursuant to *Astrue v. Ratliff*, 560 U.S. 586, 130 S. Ct. 2521, 117 L.Ed.2d 91 (2010). (Stip.) The parties further agree that, to the extent that the attorney fee award payable to plaintiff is not subject to offset by pre-existing debts to the United States, defendant will direct that the award be made payable to plaintiff's counsel pursuant to the attorney fee assignment between plaintiff and her counsel. (*Id.*)

B. Discussion

The EAJA requires the government to pay a prevailing social security plaintiff's attorney fees and costs "unless the court finds that the position of the United States was substantially justified or that special circumstances make an award unjust." 28 U.S.C. 2412(d)(1)(A); see *Howard v. Barnhart*, 376 F.3d 551, 554 (6th Cir. 2004). Plaintiff brought this action for judicial review of the Commissioner's denial of her application for disability benefits, and succeeded in securing a remand to the Commissioner for further

consideration. “A plaintiff who wins a remand of her social security appeal in this Court is a ‘prevailing party[.]’” *Hammock v. Comm’r of Soc. Sec.*, No. 1:12-CV-250, 2015 WL 7292750, at *1 (S.D. Ohio Oct. 26, 2015), *report and recommendation adopted sub nom. Hammock v. Acting Comm’r of Soc. Sec.*, No. 1:12-CV-250, 2015 WL 7276087 (S.D. Ohio Nov. 18, 2015). Additionally, plaintiff alleges that the government’s position was not substantially justified and there are no special circumstances which would make an award of attorney fees under the EAJA unjust. (Mot. at 1666.¹) It is the government’s burden to show that its position was substantially justified. *Wilson v. Astrue*, No. 2:10-CV-463, 2011 WL 3664468, at *1 (S.D. Ohio Aug. 19, 2011) (citations omitted). The government does not contend that its position was substantially justified, nor is the Court aware of any special circumstances in this case that would make an award of attorney fees under the EAJA unjust. Thus, the Court concludes that an award of attorney fees to plaintiff under the EAJA is appropriate.

The EAJA provides that the amount of an attorney fee award shall be based on prevailing market rates, but shall not exceed \$125 per hour, unless the Court determines that the cost of living or special factors justifies a higher fee. 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d)(2)(A)(ii). The change in the cost of living over the years since the \$125 per hour rate was established justifies an increase in the statutory rate. *See Crenshaw v.*

¹ All references to page numbers are to the page identification numbers generated by the Court’s electronic filing system.

Commissioner of Social Security, No. 1:13CV1845, 2014 WL 4388154 at *3 (N.D. Ohio September 5, 2014).

Plaintiff's counsel's EAJA time statement submitted with her fee application (Doc. No. 21-2) indicates that legal services were rendered in this case from September 2015 through September 2016, for a total of 20.70 hours.² The appropriate measure of inflation in this geographic area is the "Midwest Urban" CPI.³ *See Crenshaw*, 2014 WL 4388154 at *3 (collecting cases).⁴

The average Midwest Urban CPI for this period⁵ is 225.348. Dividing this number by 151.7, the CPI for March 1996 when the EAJA value of \$125 was established,⁶ the Court calculates the cost of living increase to be 1.49 (i.e. $225.348 \div 151.7 = 1.49$). Multiplying \$125 by that increase, the adjusted hourly rate is \$186.25 (i.e. $\$125 \times 1.49$). The Court has also examined and considered the materials provided by plaintiff in support of fees. Those materials reflect that the prevailing hourly rate for attorneys in the Cleveland area for the kind and quality of service provided by plaintiff's attorney in this case exceeds \$200 per hour, which further justifies an increase in the statutory rate by the Midwest Urban CPI.

² The number of hours claimed by plaintiff in her fee application is not exorbitant. Having examined the statement, and considering plaintiff's successful outcome, the Court concludes that 20.70 hours is reasonable in this case.

³ CPI is the acronym for Consumer Price Index.

⁴ The Midwest Urban CPI may be found on the website of the Bureau of Labor Statistics (<http://www.bls.gov>).

⁵ September 2015 (225.184), October 2015 (225.050), November 2015 (224.009), December 2015 (222.722), January 2016 (223.301), February 2016 (223.196), March 2016 (224.621), April 2016 (225.609), May 2016 (226.476), June 2016 (227.835), July 2016 (226.786), August 2016 (227.097), September 2016 (227.636).

⁶ *See Crenshaw*, 2014 WL 4388154 at *3.

The parties' stipulation and petition for attorney fees seeks an award of \$3,650.00. This amount, divided by the number of hours for legal services rendered before this Court—20.70—results in an hourly rate calculation of \$176.33. The Court concludes that the attorney hours claimed in plaintiff's fee application, and an hourly rate of \$176.33, are both reasonable and supportable under the EAJA. Accordingly, the Court awards to plaintiff the stipulated attorney fees in the sum of \$3,650.00.

As the parties recognize in their stipulation and petition, EAJA attorney fees are subject to offset to satisfy pre-existing federal debt owed by plaintiff. Payment may be made directly to plaintiff's attorney only if plaintiff owes no debt to the government and plaintiff has assigned any right to EAJA attorney fees to the attorney.⁷ *Crenshaw*, 2014 WL 4388154 at *5. The Commissioner is ordered to determine, within 30 days from the date of this order, whether plaintiff owes a pre-existing debt to the government, to offset any such debt against the award granted herein, and to pay the balance to the plaintiff, or to plaintiff's attorney, in accordance with the provisions of any assignment plaintiff has made with respect to EAJA fees.

⁷ The parties' stipulation states that there is an assignment by plaintiff of attorney fees to counsel, and plaintiff attached a fee agreement assigning EAJA fees to her fee application. (Doc. No. 21-8.)

C. Conclusion

For the reasons set forth herein, the parties' stipulation and petition for an award of EAJA attorney fees pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2412 in the amount of \$3,650.00 is granted. This amount shall be paid in accordance with the procedure outlined above.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 30, 2016



HONORABLE SARA LIOI
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE